# Understanding Corneal Tomography: A comprehensive course

#### Michael W. Belin, M.D.,

Professor of Ophthalmology & Vision Science Southern AZ VA Healthcare System University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona (USA) Consultant OCULUS GmbH, Avedro CMO CXL Ophthalmics



# First, we need to understand How Elevation and Curvature Differ

(*The principals / examples apply to all tomographic devices (Scheimpflug / OCT)* 

- Curvature is analogous to measuring spectacle lens power.
  - It may be accurate, but tells you nothing about the shape of the lens



- Curvature is analogous to measuring spectacle lens power.
  - It may be accurate, but tells you nothing about the shape of the lens
    - i.e. multiple spectacle lenses (*different shapes*) can have the same power



- Curvature & Power will change with orientation
  - Lens tilt and/or measurement axis
  - The same lens (shape) can have multiple powers



# Keratoconus Misconceptions

- Inferior steepening is the hallmark on early ectatic change
  - Inferior steepening, IS values are late signs of disease and poor screening indices
  - Non-specific
    - Commonly seen in Normals



# Limitations of Curvature



# Limitations of Curvature



Aspheric Astigmatic Test Object

# Limitations of Curvature

Human Cornea is Aspheric



# Curvature False Positive



# **Elevation Data**

· Elevation represents TRUE shape

- It is independent of axis, orientation or positioning.
  - (Within normal limits)
- All subsequent maps (curvature) can be derived from ACCURATE elevation data
  - Curvature is the second derivative of elevation

# Scheimpflug Imaging (OCT similar)

• Scheimpflug Imaging

- Image edge detection
- Anterior Cornea
- Posterior Cornea
- Anterior Lens
- Posterior LensAnterior Iris
  - ior Iris



# Elevation can derive Curvature Pachymetry Anterior & Posterior Elevation



# How is Elevation Data Displayed

• "RAW" elevation maps are rarely used



# How Elevation is Displayed





- The steep profile falls below the reference surface.
- · The flat profile rises above the reference surface.



# Astigmatism vs. Keratoconus





# Astigmatism





# Keratoconus

We look for central or para-central "Positive Islands \_ of Elevation"

# Chevelon (Flort) BFS-72 OFbat

Derivation of Keratoconus Pattern



• The most significant advancement in corneal imaging is the change from a reflective system to an optical cross section



• New Imaging Systems (Scheimpflug & OCT) have the ability to look at both Anterior & Posterior Surfaces

#### Isolated Abnormal Post Elevation



# Normal Curvature

Las these	Auto	ac'ibie
E Decidaria Frittinia Ra Lat. Lancian Frittinia Ra Lat.	i B and a m	Investing Without
The Press In Press		
The second secon		
The Free State		
The first fi	- B	e a gr
National Processing and American National Processing and American National Processing and American		
American Office Sea Sea Sea Orientidae Riterio del 1997 Deservices State age State		
at least date ( ) and the former sector of the sector of t		

# Normal Curvature (Subclinical KCN)



# Why use a Best-Fit-Sphere ?

- A BFS (Sphere) conveys the most intuitive *qualitative* information about corneal shape
  - The differences are only qualitative as all maps are generated from the same raw elevation data.



Sphere vs Ellipsoid vs Toric Ellipsoid Fixed vs Toric Ellipsoid



#### Sphere vs Ellipsoid vs Toric Ellipsoid Fixed vs Toric Ellipsoid



#### Sphere vs Ellipsoid vs Toric Ellipsoid Fixed vs Toric Ellipsoid



# But What is the Best Reference Surface ?



# Reference Surface

A standardized REFERENCE SURFACE makes data interpretation easier.





Galveston Denver Dead Sea Mt Everest

# The Data is Identical...

...But the reference surface is not appropriate for qualitative inspection



# Derivation of the BAD Display



The "Best Fit Shape" below is essentially an average of the high and low elevations of the cornea.



#### Map of the Earth

Reference Surface (Sea Level) is not a Best-Fit-Sphere



A TRUE "**Best** *Reference* **Shape**" would better approximate the normal cornea and accentuate the abnormal cone *(i.e., it would mimic "sea level")*.



"BEST" Reference Shape



"BEST" Elevation reference shape is calculated using only data outside the cone.



3.0 – 4.0 mm diameter circle (*dynamic*) centered on point with smallest radius of curvature.

All elevation data within the red circle is excluded.

"BEST" Elevation reference shape (ENHANCED REFERENCE SURFACE) is calculated using only data outside the red circle.



#### Before & After Exclusion Software



#### Keratoconus – Before / After



#### Normal Eye – Before / After



# Results

- · Comparing the BFS to the modified reference shape
  - Normal eyes showed an avg change in anterior apex and maximum elevation of  $1.86{\pm}1.9{\mu}m$  and  $1.63{\pm}1.4{\mu}m.$
  - Keratoconus eyes showed anterior apex and maximum elevation changes of 20.4±23.1µm and 20.9±21.9µm.
     (P<.0001).</li>
  - Posteriorly, normal eyes showed an average change in apex and maximum elevation of  $2.86{\pm}1.9\mu m$  and  $2.27{\pm}1.1\mu m$ .
  - Keratoconus eys showed posterior apex and maximum elevation changes of 39.9±38.1µm and 45.7±35.9µm.
     (P<.0001).</li>

# Enhanced Reference Shape



Pachymetric Progression



#### The "BAD" Belin / Ambrosio III

- Reports 5 "D" Values which are individual SD from the mean of:
  - "Df" STD Anterior Elevation Change (Front)
  - "Db" STD Posterior Elevation Change (Back)
  - "Dp" STD Pachymetric Progression (Progression)
  - "Dt" STD Thinnest Point Pachymetry (Thinnest)
  - "Da" STD Relational Thickness
- Plus ARTmax, Ant & Post Elevation, Kmax
- Each of these 9 parameters are independently calculated based on established normal values

#### "BAD" Belin / Ambrosio III

• The individual "D" values and the additional 4 parameters are reported as SD from the mean and will change color to YELLOW at 1.6 SD from the norm and RED at 2.6 SD from the norm

Df: 1.66	Db: 3.40	Dp: 1.27	Dt -1.	89 Dy: 0.14	1

# "BAD" Belin / Ambrosio III

- The Final "**D**" is an overall reading of all the parameters based on a regression analysis. The individual "D" values have different weighting.
- Only the final "D" has statistical significance for separating normal from abnormal



# Belin /Ambrosio III Enhanced Ectasia Display



# **BAD** Display

- The BAD display is designed for *Refractive Screening*
- It separates "normal" from "abnormal"
- · It does not specifically diagnose KCN

# Using the Display

- May have individual YELLOW or RED but an overall final "D" that is within acceptable range
- · You need to use other information to make a final clinical
  - decision
  - Age
  - Ablation depthFamily history



# Summary

- · BAD III allows for a high specificity and sensitivity
  - Additional testing with Placido devices in unnecessary
  - You need to use other information to make a final clinical decision
    - Age
    - · Ablation depth
    - · Family history
    - Stability
    - · Remember to compare both eyes for symmetry

# ABCD Keratoconus Staging

#### Michael W. Belin, M.D.,

Professor of Ophthalmology & Vision Science University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona (USA)

Consultant OCULUS GmbH, Avedro CMO CXL Ophthalmics



## Why a new System



2015

	Actual Contraction of the Contra
Global Consensus on Kerat	oconus and Ectatic Diseases
Juni J. P. Gomen, 1021 FMC* Donald Jan. Michael W. Kolm, MD J Komari, Jacke François Malmair, MD FMD ** Kolar Mathias, k of Pranchetti Ser der Gödel Delphi Pres	MD: PMD: I Christopher J. Reposite: MD.J. sie, Ar. 803; PMD: 9 Juni J., Gayl, MD31 80:11 and Florador J. Samprati, MD32, die Group al of Kensteineme and Ecitatio Diseases
Exclusional fragministic benefitig regarding for disper- ted temperature of functionane and enter control density, some controlettion with one first that many, there is a word for control patholase for the single-set and temperature of these conditions.	ad det coll disease Education is implicit a feature without variant of free coldinay. For Week transmiss using trans. some could not being could surplement
Perjami Tita practicand is such common a sphericality report from around the world argenting terminonism and train- based from the probability sectors of the sectors.	Stamp (84) (3.1.11)
and surgered baseliness. Mardback: The finistic excitation of which a generatory baseline and has complemented with a fiber of a sensing. They are particle on included and distanticles 1 of / particle definition dependent complete comparisons, or surgered sciences. The basel of generatory completed the sourcement are included.	Knownen out some served dream here be known in the server in the server." One is her bandle, there here some distances in the server of degrees are assumed or development, and serve in the server is attemption of the solution.
Fordir: Transmiss approved was percent in differen- ted on observing and non-percent of the test of the second dense. There are a second second was the second seco	- Sign Star was performed provided. For small, the period of shallhand approximate of homoscones of approximate 10 Million anong the general prophoton' has been deallowed without any star general prophoton' has been deallowed without a star of the star star of the star million and the star of the star of the star star of the star of the star of the star of the star star of the star of the star of the star star of the star star of the star of the star of the star star of the star star of the star of the star star of the star star of the star star of the star of the star star of the star star star of the star star of the star star star star star of the star star star star star star star star
Disclosion: The paper model is deletion, downlin, ad incrementations to be degrees of anogenet d'American	indig (33)."" despois value instante indig (33)."" despois value instante
	where the second secon
Septemberger, et al. Septemberg, et al. Septemberg, et al. Septemberger, et al. Septemberg	Topi Sono. Takat Socoli, if he Ratchest, Rate & Rates
<ul> <li>Spinnensen, a verspinnen i Sin version et dis passet. The lands basically, particular sequences and an experimental set of an experimen- sion basical sectors. John Mark Manazare et andreas di articularitation data factor. Mark Mark Manazare et al. (2016) Annual State Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Markage et al. States Annual Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark</li></ul>	Construction Tabled (Inconst. of the Party Law, Name & Name

#### What did they Conclude about Diagnosis

TABLE 2. Agreements Reached in the Definition/Diagnosis

- Panel

  The following findings are mandatory to diagnose keratoconus
  Abnormal posterior elevation
  - Abnormal <u>nosterior elevation</u> Abnormal corneal thickness distribution
- Clinical noninflammatory corneal thinning
- The <u>best</u> current and widely available diagnostic test to diagnose early keratoconus is tomography (Scheimpflug or optical coherence tomography)
- Posterior corneal elevation abnormalities <u>must</u> be present to diagnose early or subclinical keratoconus

# What we Need

- · Recognition of full anatomical changes
  - Anterior cornea
  - Posterior cornea
- Corneal thickness
- Simple Parameters
- Platform independent • Any tomographic device
- Easy to convey information



#### Limitations of Amsler-Krumeich

#### · Relies on apical thickness

STAGE	Eccentric steepening Myopia / Astigmatism < 5.00 D Mean K < 48.0 D	Corneal Thickness
STAGE	Myopia / Astigmatism > 5.00 D but < 8.00 D Mean K < 53.0 D Absence of scarring Minimal apical comeal thickness > 400 um	
STAGE	Myopia / Astigmatism > 8.00 D but < 10.00 D Mean K > 53.0 D Absence of scarring Comeal thickness < 400 um but > 300 um	
STAGE IV	Refraction not possible Mean K > 55.0 D Central corneal scarring Minimal apical corneal thickness < 300 um	10 µm Pachy Abs

#### Limitations of Amsler-Krumeich



#### Limitations of Amsler-Krumeich

• Does not recognize early (subclinical) disease



#### Would you treat these the same ?

18 year old	47 year old		
De al. 410	$D_{a} = 1 - 5 4 4$		

Pach 410 F	ach 544
------------	---------

Mean K 52 Mean K 48.5

Rx - 8.0 Rx - 5.25

#### Amsler/Krumeich grades them the Same



#### "One Man's Trash, is Another Man's Treasure"

- The Enhanced Reference Surface works because the exclusion zone effectively removes the bulk of the cone and normalizes the reference surface.
- As opposed to removing the exclusion zone, we should examine the exclusion zone as it represents the ectatic region of the cornea





	Anterior Radius of Curvature (3 mm)	Posterior Radius of Curvature (3 mm)	Corneal Thickness at Thinnest Point (um)	
Mean 7.65		6.26	534.2	
Median 7.64		6.25	533	
STD 0.236		0.214	30.36	
Range	6.89 - 8.66	5.61-6.93	454 - 614	

Because the Posterior cornea is a low power MINUS lens, we need to get comfortable with Radius of Curvature instead of Diopters in order to measure both Anterior and Posterior surfaces.

#### Belin ABCD Keratoconus Classification / Grading

ABCD Criteria	A	В		D	
	ARC (3 mm Zone)	PRC (3 mm Zone)	Thinnest Pach um	BDVA	Scarring
STAGE 0	> 7.25 mm (< 46.5 D)	> 5.90 mm	> 490 um	≥ 20/20 (≥ 1.0)	
STAGE I	> 7.05 mm (< 48.0 D)	> 5.70 mm	> 450 um	< 20/20 (< 1.0)	-, +, ++
STAGE II	> 6.35 mm (< 53.0 D)	> 5.15 mm	> 400 um	< 20/40 (< 0.5)	-, +, ++
STAGE III	> 6.15 mm (< 55.0 D)	> 4.95 mm	> 300 um	< 20/100 (< 0.2)	-, +, ++
STAGE IV	< 6.15 mm (> 55.0 D)	< 4.95 mm	≤ 300 um	< 20/400 (< 0.05)	-, +, ++

#### Currently part of the Topometric / KCN Staging Display





# Normal Eye



#### Very Early Ectatic Change



# Moderately Advanced KCN



## Advanced KCN



# Belin ABCD Progression Display: Before & After CXL

Michael W. Belin, M.D., Professor of Ophthalmology & Vision Science

University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona (USA) Consultant OCULUS GmbH, Avedro



SOE 2023 European Society of Ophthalmology





# Ectasia Progression



2015

- Currently, no consistent definition
  Consistent change in at least two of the following, where the change is
- above the normal measurement error • Steepening of the Anterior corneal
- surfaceSteepening of the Posterior corneal
- Progressive thinning or an increase in
- the rate of change from the periphery to the thinnest point

# We Need a New Perspective

- The reliance on Kmax as both an efficacy parameter and an indicator of progression has resulted in irreversible loss of vision and delayed intervention
- Kmax has never been statistically validated



# **Prior Progression Parameters**

Spherical power, and higher order irregular astigmatism	Positive Rate of Change per Year
Spherical component, regular astigmatism,	Positive Rate of Change per Year
Kmax (steepest K)	21.00 D increase
Kmax - Kmin	≥1.00 D increase
Kmean (average of Kmax and Kmin)	20.75 D increase
Pachymetry	22% decrease in central thickness
Back optic zone radius of the best fitting contact lens	0.1 mm or more decrease
increase in the central K power	≥ 1.50 D increase from baseline
Manifest cylinder	Increase of ≥ 1.00 D in 24 months
Manifest spherical equivalent change (MRSE)	20.50 D
ISV	Specific values for each KCN stage
IHA	Specific values for each KCN stage

#### None have been statistically validated

		validated
Spherical power, and higher order irregular astigmatism	Positive Rate of Change per Year	No
Spherical component, regular astigmatism,	Positive Rate of Change per Year	No
Kmax (steepest K)	>1.00 D increase	No
Kmax – Kmin	21.00 D increase	No
Kmean (average of Kmax and Kmin)	20.75 Dincrease	No
Pachymetry	>2% decrease in central thickness	No
Back optic zone radius of the best fitting contact lens	0.1 mm or more decrease	No
increase in the central K power	> 1.50 D increase from baseline	No
Manifest cylinder	Increase of ≥ 1.00 D in 24 months	No
Manifest spherical equivalent change (MRSE)	20.50 D	No
ISV	Specific values for each KEN stage	No
IHA	Specific values for each KCN stage	No

# Why a Progression Display ?

- Can't we just follow the final "D" of the BAD ?
- Can't we just follow the parameters from the ABCD Staging/Grading System ?

Belin ABCD Keestoconus Staging
ARC (3 wr Zarek) <sup>7</sup> 60 mr ARC (3 wr Zarek) <sup>6</sup> 62 mr BRC (3 wr Zarek) <sup>6</sup> 62 mr Drowel Parky (301 mr C 2020 0 100
0 1 2 3 4 A0 B4 C3 D0

# Why a new Progression Display ?

 Belin/Ambrosio Enhanced Ectasia Display (BAD)
 Designed specifically to separate





# Why a Progression Display ?

- Can't we just follow the parameters from the ABCD Staging/Grading System ?
- The ABCD is designed for population based studies, not to follow a single individual



# Why a new Progression Display ?

#### Belin ABCD Progression Display

- Designed to follow and document progression of disease
- Based on one-sided confidence intervals
- Allows for documenting progression in spite of a stable anterior surface and/or stable Kmax
- Evaluates each anatomical layer individually
- Statistically validated

- · Determine background noise for each parameter · Not determined for new parameters
- · Staging / Progression display that automatically determines stage and significant change.

ABCD Criteria	A	В	c	D	
	ARC (3 mm Zone)	PRC (3 mm Zone)	Thinnest Pach um	BDVA	Scarring
STAGE 0	> 7.25 mm (< 46.5 D)	> 5.90 mm (< 57.25 D)	> 490 um	≥ 20/20 (≥ 1.0)	
STAGE I	> 7.05 mm (< 48.0 D)	> 5.70 mm (< 59.25 D)	> 450 um	< 20/20 (< 1.0)	-, +, ++
STAGE II	> 6.35 mm (< 53.0 D)	> 5.15 mm (< 65.5 D)	> 400 um	< 20/40 (< 0.5)	-, +, ++
STAGE III	> 6.15 mm (< 55.0 D)	> 4.95 mm (< 68.5 D)	> 300 um	< 20/100 (< 0.2)	-, +, ++
STAGE IV	< 6.15 mm (> 55.0 D)	< 4.95 mm (> 68.5 D)	≤ 300 um	< 20/400 (< 0.05)	-, +, ++

#### **Studied Populations** Normal & Abnormal (Keratoconus)





#### Why Study both Normals & Abnormals ?

- · For older patients with clinically evident keratoconus the noise levels from the Keratoconic patient database is more appropriate for progression documentation
  - An older patient is less likely to exhibit rapid change and the risk of "waiting" is low
- · A young patient with early or subclinical disease may change rapidly and their cornea more closely matches a normal population than a moderate to advanced keratoconic population
  - In a younger patient with normal vision and subclinical disease early detection of any change is paramount.
  - · Here the risk of "waiting" is high

# Progression Parameters STD & Confidence Intervals

	Standard Dev	95% CI 1- tail	80% CI 1- tail
KCN ARC all (n=252)	0.062	0.102	0.052
KCN ARC OK (n=208)	0.062	0.102	0.052
NORM ARC (n=135)	0.015	0.024	0.012
KCN PRC all	0.062	0.102	0.052
KCN PRC OK	0.063	0.104	0.053
NORM PRC	0.050	0.083	0.042
KCN Min Pach all	6.03	9.92	5.07
KCN Min Pach OK	6.10	10.03	5.13
NORM Min Pach	4.79	7.88	4.03

- · One sided confidence intervals were chosen since progression is associated with a decrease in pachymetry and / or a decrease in radius of curvature of either the anterior or posterior surface
- · Both 80% and 95% confidence intervals are reported to allow the surgeon to make a risk benefit analysis.

# Belin KCN Progression Display II





#### 16 yr old Progressive Subclinical Disease





Progressive Advanced KCN with Stable Anterior Surface



#### C/O Decrease UCVA post Hyperopic LASIK



# Post Hyperopic LASIK



# Post Hyperopic LASIK Ectasia



#### Diagnosing Progression of Keratoconus

- Dissociation of Clinical Progression with Changes
   in Kmax
- Tomographic based classification system recognizes all the anatomical layers
- Tomographic based progression display documents statistically significant change
  - One parameter @ 95% or two parameters @ 80%
  - "Grain of salt" for "C" parameter

#### How do you define Progression after CXL

- Progression parameters after CXL have been unknown
  - Currently, the confidence intervals on the ABCD Progression display are removed after you indicate CXL

treatment

Demographics



# Post CXL Progression Study

#### Purpose

Measure noise of post CXL eyes and evaluate whether these measurements can serve as progression determinants after CXL

#### Methods

- Patients from ELZA Institute (Switzerland) and Homburg Keratoconus
- Center (Germany) with a minimum 12 months post-CXL were enrolled. • We used two sites to compare since CXL is a surgical procedure with inherent user/site variability.
  - Three separate Pentacam measurements were taken, removing the patient between each exam. A minimum 7.5 mm of coverage and an acceptable quality score were required.
- Both pooled variance and one-sided confidence intervals were computed. Site specific and time specific comparisons were made.

#### Post CXL Progression Study

	Combined	Zurich	Homburg
Eyes	60	38	22
Age (years)	29.5 ± 12.3	29.9 ± 12.8	28.8 ± 11.7
	Range 11-62	Range 11-62	Range 17-56
Time since CXL	26.1 ± 19.3	16.6 ± 5.4	42.6 ± 23.2
(months)	Range 12-115	Range 12-28	Range 16-115

#### Post CXL Progression Study

	95% Cl 1-tail	80% CI 1-tail
KCN ARC (n=252)	0.102	0.052
NORMALARC (n=135)	0.024	0.012
POST CXL ARC (n=60)	0.055	0.028
KCN PRC	0.102	0.052
NORMAL PRC	0.083	0.042
POST CXL PRC	0.096	0.049
KCN Min Pach	9.92	5.07
NORMAL Min Pach	7.88	4.03
POST CXL Min PACH	7.20	3.68

#### Geographic Comparison

Geographical Locat	ion		N	Mean		Std. D	eviation	Std. Er	ror Mean	
ARC3mmZone_me	an	Zurich	38	6.8592		0.7	0333	0.1	1410	Populations
	H	omburg	22	6.4032		0.5	7497	0.1	2258	1
PRC3mmZone me	an	Zurich	38	5.0926		0.7	0966	0.1	1512	nave similar
	н	ombure	22	4 5926		0.4	1934	0.0	8940	ARC values
ThinnestPachy me	an	Zurich	38	450 456	1	48 (	2548	7.7	9076	ind contained
	н	omburg	22	445.075	8	30 /	9013	8.4	1032	
			22			57.		0.4		
Independent-Samples Mann-W	hitney U Test	Inde	pendant-Sureph	s Mann -Whitney U	Test	inde	pendent-Sample	s Mann-Whitney	U Test	
Geographical Locati	en .		Ceograph	ical Location			Ceograph	cal Location		
Zarich Hore	burg		Zurich	Homburg			Zarich	Homburg		
111 No.12 No.12 No.12 No.12 No.12	4.50	298	8.418	14 = 32	210		1 14 - 14			
				Bus 6241 + 31.78			Man Series 25.01	Aber Bell - 15.07	25,000	
		200		Mus 5241 + 31.75	200	20.00	Mark Sant + 25.23	Mar Rati - 10.07	2.00	Populations
3	3			But for - 11.0		3	- Shead Aust + 29,21	And Anno 1997	2.00 2.00 2.00	Populations
		1			,	why, wi	1 1 1	Markan - mor	2	Populations have similar
	700 MIL	andiana, ad	,	-	MClause Zee	anthuby_ud	1 1 1	And Annumor	2.00 ThisseedPect	Populations have similar noise
ICImmiton Se	11 June 10	KCI renZess_ td		È	PRCImmZene_s	himmenthechy, ad	1 1	Mallan - mar	2.111 1.111 1.111 1.111	Populations have similar noise
S ACCINERTON DA	100 June 100	PICTrendine_ut	_	Ļ	F8C3mm2ene_sd	Thinnestheby, of		And Annu 1937	2. III II. III II. III II. III II. III III	Populations have similar noise measurements
WCBandane, al	210 March 100	PRCTreenZees_td		Ļ	PICImmZene_sd	ThinnessTechy_od			IS IN This sease Prochery, and IN	Populations have similar noise measurements
ULCINUESCON Del Constante del	100 Jan	PRCTrentZees_td	_		PRC3mmZene_sel	Thimmediathy, of	2 31 35 10 5		25.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.0000 21.00000 21.00000 21.00000 21.00000 21.00000 21.0000000000	Populations have similar noise measurements
	200 Department	PACTeenZone, of	Inguator		10 PRC3mm2core_sd	ThinnessBuchy_ad	Rectain - Stat	I I II II I	ThismestPachy, ed	Populations have similar noise measurements

# Time Since CXL Comparison

Short 1-3 years / Long >3 – 10 years (Homburg Subjects)



#### Belin ABCD Progression Display *III* Post CXL gates only appear > 1 year



#### 16 year-old, Selecting Green Gates



#### 16 year-old, Post CXL Select Exam



# 16 year-old, Post CXL Select Exam



# Good Response to CXL

ne:	0_Patient, Anonymo	us D:		Date of Birt	ði: 2/24/2016		00 Right	100 BAD B			Full Scale Aligned a
A	Raseline Select Selection Dams.	Value   Stage	7.6	7.8	8.0	6.2	8.4 50%	8308	6.0	9.0	9.2
mm zone			8,4	<b>100</b> 8.6	8.8	9.0	9.2	5.4	9.6	9.8	10.0
PRC Imm zone		13800 386 3800 810					≓.	a			
C			2 2.4	2.6	2.8	3.0	<sup>3,2</sup> 📖	34	3.6	3.6	•
D	\$15811		-0.8	-0.6	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.5
sual acuity	10.20.2010										••••

# Possible Retreatment / Select Exams



# Post CXL Progression Study

#### Conclusion

- Post CXL measurement variance (*noise*) can be used to determine progressive change
- Noise measurements are consistent across different centers
- Noise measurements are consistent > 12 months
- New Post CXL confidence intervals added to current iteration of Belin ABCD Progression Display
  - Belin ABCD Progression Display III

# Independent Validation

- Belin ABCD Progression Display Identifies Keratoconus Progression Earlier than Conventional Metrics. AJO 2022; 236:45-52
   Identified progression 4 – 7 months earlier than Kmax
- Evaluating Keratoconus Progression prior to Crosslinking: maximum keratometry vs the ABCD grading system. J Cat Ref Surg 2021; 47:33-39
- Identified progression on average 6 months earlier than Kmax
  ABCD progression display for keratoconus progression: a
- sensitivity-specificity study. EYE 2022
- ABCD progression display can assess keratoconus progression with high sensitivity and specificity

